

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/821,207	Applicant(s) LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner Rudy Zervigon	Art Unit 1763	

All Participants:

(1) Rudy Zervigon.

(2) Jeffrey S. Abel.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 March 2006

Time: 11:38

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All pending

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

I called Mr. Abel to inquire on the disposition of the case. Mr. Abel returned my call confirming Applicant's intention to abandon the proceedings in favor of divisional application(s)

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)